# **BR**\(CE Tutorial)

Jalal Kawash

# Contents

| 1 | Preli | iminaries                  | 2 |
|---|-------|----------------------------|---|
|   | 1.1   | Running Example            | 2 |
|   | 1.2   | BR VCE Interface           | 2 |
| 2 | Rela  | tional Algebra Tutorial    | 6 |
|   | 2.1   | Projection and selection   | 7 |
|   | 2.2   | Aggregate functions        | 0 |
|   | 2.3   | Set operations             | 2 |
|   | 2.4   | Joins                      | 4 |
|   | 2.5   | Division                   | 6 |
| 3 | Rela  | tional Calculus Tutorial 1 | 9 |
|   | 3.1   | Simple RC queries          | 9 |
|   | 3.2   | Existential quantifiers    | 2 |
|   | 3.3   | Universal quantifiers      | 4 |

# Chapter 1

# **Preliminaries**

### **1.1 Running Example**

In this tutorial, we will use the database schema depicted in Figure 1.1. This database keeps information about *employees*, who are described by their numbers, names (first and last), date of birth (DOB), gender (we assume the gender values are M for male, F for female, X for LGPTQ, and O for other), salary, and the department (number) they work for. A *department* is described by its number and name. Employees may have *dependents*, who are described by their name, gender, and relation to the employee. The *job* title of an employee is recorded with its effective start date (effDate).

The data set used in our tutorial is shown in Figure 1.2. It is contrived, and each table contains only a handful of rows. We used famous cartoon character names for employees, but we largely made up the rest of the information.

### **1.2 BR**\(\rangle CE Interface

The BR $\forall$ CE interface was shown in Figure **??**. In BR $\forall$ CE, the schema is loaded to the tool as an XML file. The XML representation of the schema of Figure 1.1 is given in Figure 1.3. It follows a very simple Document Type Definition (DTD) that lists the relations and their attribute names. To use your own schema, code in XML and load it to BR $\forall$ CE through the **File** menu choosing the *Load Database Schema* option.

Next, click the **Relational Algebra** or the **Relational Calculus** tab to start composing your RA or RC query, respectively. A query can be composed by se-



Figure 1.1: A simple company database schema

lecting a *Tile Group* and then dragging and dropping the required tile to the *query composition area*. Some tiles require a relation or an attribute name. BR $\forall$ CE populates the fields that require relation or attribute names in these tiles with dropdown lists. You can scroll down these lists and select the required relations or attributes for your query. Some tiles require a constant value or a quantifier variable name (only in RC). For these tiles, use the keyboard to enter the required value into the appropriate tile.

Once your visual query is composed and is complete, click the **Generate Code** button. The equivalent theoretical expression to your visual query and the equivalent SQL code will be shown in their respective areas. You can copy each of these expressions to the clipboard, using  $\Box$ , or download them as a file, using  $\pm$ . You can save your query from the **File** menu. BR $\forall$ CE queries are saved with the extensions *raq* and *rcq* for RA and RC, respectively. Note that the schema representation is piggybacked to the query since queries are schema-specific. When you load a query from a file, the database schema is also loaded to BR $\forall$ CE.

### EMPLOYEE

| empNo | fName   | lName     | DOB        | gender | salary | deptNo |
|-------|---------|-----------|------------|--------|--------|--------|
| 18    | Bugs    | Bunny     | 1971-6-3   | Х      | 53000  | 3      |
| 19    | Jessica | Rabbit    | 1985-6-14  | F      | 65000  | 1      |
| 22    | Daffy   | Duck      | 1977-9-19  | М      | 35000  | 6      |
| 25    | Willma  | Flinstone | 1966-4-30  | F      | 55000  | 3      |
| 33    | Donald  | Duck      | 1970-2-20  | М      | 52000  | 3      |
| 38    | Minnie  | Mouse     | 1988-3-19  | F      | 67000  | 1      |
| 40    | Mickey  | Mouse     | 1980-8-2   | М      | 37000  | 6      |
| 41    | Road    | Runner    | 1992-11-22 | 0      | 40000  | 6      |

### DEPARTMENT

| deptNo | deptName               |
|--------|------------------------|
| 1      | Human Resources        |
| 3      | Information Technology |
| 6      | Sales                  |
| 6      | Sales                  |

### DEPENDENT

| empNo | depName           | depGender | relation |
|-------|-------------------|-----------|----------|
| 18    | Hugs Bunny        | Х         | Son      |
| 25    | Fred Flinstone    | М         | Spouse   |
| 25    | Pebbles Flinstone | F         | Daughter |
| 41    | Hill Runner       | 0         | Spouse   |

### JOB

| empNo | title             | effDate  |
|-------|-------------------|----------|
| 18    | Developer         | 2000-1-1 |
| 18    | Software Engineer | 2006-1-1 |
| 19    | HR Specialist     | 2002-3-1 |
| 22    | Sales Manager     | 2002-1-1 |
| 25    | Developer         | 2000-1-1 |
| 33    | Developer         | 2001-1-1 |
| 33    | Software Engineer | 2004-1-1 |
| 38    | HR Consultant     | 2003-4-1 |
| 40    | Sales Person      | 2003-4-1 |
| 41    | Sales Person      | 2005-7-1 |

Figure 1.2: A simple data set for the company database schema

```
<database name="Company Database">
    <relation name="Employee">
       <attribute name="empNo"/>
       <attribute name="fname"/>
       <attribute name="lname"/>
       <attribute name="DOB"/>
       <attribute name="gender"/>
       <attribute name="salary"/>
       <attribute name="deptNo"/>
    </relation>
    <relation name="Department">
       <attribute name="deptNo"/>
       <attribute name="deptName"/>
    </relation>
    <relation name="Dependent">
       <attribute name="empNo"/>
       <attribute name="depName"/>
       <attribute name="depGender"/>
       <attribute name="relation"/>
    </relation>
    <relation name="Job">
       <attribute name="empNo"/>
       <attribute name="title"/>
       <attribute name="effDate"/>
    </relation>
</database>
```

Figure 1.3: XML representation for the Company schema

## Chapter 2

# **Relational Algebra Tutorial**

We will present queries of increasing complexity, formulate these in  $BR\forall CE$ , and generate the equivalent RA and SQL expressions. We will then, use the Company database of Figure 1.2 to show the result of the query in that database. The operands in the RA tiles are labeled as follows:

- 1.  $R, R_1$ , are  $R_2$  are relation operands
- 2. *a* is an attribute name list operand
- 3. c,  $c_1$ , and  $c_2$  are logical conditions
- 4.  $e_1$ , and  $e_2$  are expressions which can be an attribute name or a constant value entered by the user.

An operand can be left blank if the label is enclosed in square brackets, such as [a]. We do not intended nor we have space to cover every possible RA operation supported by BR $\forall$ CE. However, we will demonstrate at least one RA operation from each each tile group.

The RA tile groups are:

- 1. The Relations group contains the *relation* and *attribute* tiles to be used in the next two groups.
- 2. The Unary Operators group contains the tiles for the RA unary operators (they have one relation operand): *select*, *project*, *aggregate functions*, and *aggregate functions*, with grouping.

- 3. The Binary Operators group contains the tiles for the RA binary operators (they require two relation operands). Many of these operators share the same tile. The required operator is chosen from a drop-down list in the tile. There are four tiles in this group: (i) joins that do not require conditions (namely, *natural join* and *cross join*), (ii) joins that require conditions (all forms of *theta joins* and *outer joins*), (iii) set operations (*intersection*, *union*, and *difference*, and (iv) *division*.
- 4. The Courry Condition group contains the tiles for formulating logic conditions. There are six tiles in this group: (i) the logical *and* or *or* tile, (ii) the logical *negation* tile, (iii) the comparison operators tile (=, ≠, <, >, ≤, and ≥), (iv) the *attribute* tile needed for attribute names in conditions, (v) the *number literal* tile, and (vi) the *string literal* tile.

Any of the tiles in the unary and binary operators groups can serve as a *container* for the RA query.

## 2.1 Projection and selection

The *project* unary operator, denoted by the Greek symbol  $\pi$ , filters a relation vertically. That is, it can eliminate some of its columns. We start by formulating a projection query. Because this is our first RA query, we will go through its construction step by step. The query is *retrieve the first name and last names of employees*. The steps are:

1. Drag the project  $(\pi)$  tile from the Unary Operators group and drop it in the query composition area:



2. The project tile requires a relation (R) operand. From the Relations group, drag the relation tile and snap it into the project tile. Then, choose from the drop-down list the required relation:



3. The project tile also requires an attribute list operand (*a*). From the Relations group, drag the attribute tile and snap into the project tile. Then choose from the drop-down list the required attribute:



4. More attributes can be added to the attribute list by snapping more attribute tiles onto the last added attribute tile:



This completes our query. Click the **Generate Code** button to generate the RA and SQL expressions that are equivalent to the BR $\forall$ CE query. The equivalent RA expression generated by BR $\forall$ CE is:

 $\pi$  Employee.fName, Employee.lName (Employee). The equivalent SQL expression generated by BR $\forall$ CE is: select Employee.fName, Employee.lName from Employee. The result of this query when applied to our data set is:

| fName   | IName     |
|---------|-----------|
| Bugs    | Bunny     |
| Jessica | Rabbit    |
| Daffy   | Duck      |
| Willma  | Flinstone |
| Donald  | Duck      |
| Minnie  | Mouse     |
| Mickey  | Mouse     |
| Road    | Runner    |

The *select* operation, denoted by the Greek symbol  $\sigma$ , filters a relation horizontally. That is, it can eliminate some of the rows. The following is a selection query that *retrieves the employees who were born before 1970-1-1*:



```
The equivalent RA expression generated by BR\forallCE is:

\sigma Employee.DOB < "1970-1-1" (Employee).

The equivalent SQL expression generated by BR\forallCE is:

select *

from Employee

where Employee.DOB < "1970-1-1".

The result of this query is:
```

| empNo | fName  | lName     | DOB       | ••• |
|-------|--------|-----------|-----------|-----|
| 25    | Willma | Flinstone | 1966-4-30 | ••• |

The next query combines both projection and selection. It retrieves *the first* and last names of employees who neither identify as males nor females:



#### The equivalent RA expression generated by BR VCE is:

 $\pi$  Employee.fName, Employee.lName ( $\sigma$  (Employee.gender  $\neq$  "M"  $\wedge$  Employee.gender  $\neq$  "F") (Employee)).

The equivalent SQL expression generated by BR VCE is:

select Employee.fName, Employee.lName
from Employee
where ( Employee.gender != "M"
and Employee.gender != "F" ).
The result of this query is:

| fName | lName  |
|-------|--------|
| Bugs  | Bunny  |
| Road  | Runner |

## 2.2 Aggregate functions

The aggregate functions in RA are *min*, *max*, *sum*, *count*, and *average*. Calculations can be performed to compute a single value, such as the average salary in

the company, or to compute a single value for a group of rows, such as the average value per gender.

The following BR VCE query retrieves the average salary of all employees:

| R Employee -     |              |
|------------------|--------------|
| AVG 🔹 a Employee | e.salary 🔻 🔵 |

The equivalent RA expression generated by BR∀CE is: AVG (Employee.salary) (Employee). The equivalent SQL expression BR∀CE generated is: select AVG (Employee.salary) from Employee. The result of this query is:

| AVG(salary) |  |
|-------------|--|
| 50500       |  |

To calculate any other function, such as the min, max, or sum, it is only necessary to choose that function from the drop-down list in the aggregate function tile. To *retrieve the maximum salary for each gender group*, the query is written as:



The equivalent RA expression generated by BR∀CE is: MAX (Employee.salary) (Employee) (Employee.gender).

The equivalent SQL expression generated by BR∀CE is: select Employee.gender, MAX (Employee.salary) from Employee group by Employee.gender. The result of this query is:

| gender | MAX(salary) |
|--------|-------------|
| X      | 53000       |
| F      | 67000       |
| М      | 52000       |
| 0      | 40000       |

## 2.3 Set operations

There are three set operations in RA: *union*, denoted by  $\cup$ , *intersection*, denoted by  $\cap$ , and *minus*, denoted by -. These are binary RA operators requiring two relations as operands. To *retrieve the depNos for departments that have male employees but do not have female employees*, we formulate a *minus* query. The first set ( $R_1$ ) contains departments that have male employees, and the second set ( $R_2$ ) contains departments that have female employees. The result is the first set *minus* the second set.

The BR∀CE query is:



The equivalent RA expression generated by BR VCE is:

( $\pi$  Employee.deptNo ( $\sigma$  Employee.gender = "M" (Employee)) -  $\pi$  Employee.deptNo ( $\sigma$  Employee.gender = "F" (Employee))).

The equivalent SQL expression generated by BR $\forall CE$  is:

( select Employee.deptNo

from Employee

```
where Employee.gender = "M"
except
select Employee.deptNo
from Employee
where Employee.gender = "F" ).
The result of this query is:
```



Note that the query that retrieves the depNos for departments that have both male employees and female employees would only require changing the "-" to " $\cap$ " in the above query. The query that retrieves the depNos for departments that have male employees or female employees require using " $\cup$ " instead of the "-".

### 2.4 Joins

Join queries cross reference two relations against each other by pairing each row in the first relation with each row in the second relation. For all the joins, except for the *cross-join* (denoted by  $\times$ ), a selection condition is applied to eliminate some of the irrelevant rows. A *cross-join* between Employee and Dependent lists every employee with every dependent:



The equivalent RA expression generated by BR VCE is:

(Employee × Dependent).

The equivalent SQL expression generated by  $BR\forall CE$  is:

select \*

from Employee cross join Dependent.

The *natural-join* (denoted by \*) eliminates the rows where empNo from Employee is not equal to empNo from Dependent in the above listing. The \* must be chosen from the drop-down list in the tile and the equivalent RA expression is: (Employee \* Dependent).

The equivalent SQL expression generated by BR $\forall$ CE is: select \*

from Employee natural join Dependent .

. . .

The result of this query is:

Road

41

| EMPLOYEE |       |        | Ι   | DEPENDE | ENT               |  |
|----------|-------|--------|-----|---------|-------------------|--|
|          | empNo | fName  |     | empNo   | depName           |  |
|          | 18    | Bugs   |     | 18      | Hugs Bunny        |  |
|          | 25    | Willma | ••• | 25      | Fred Flinstone    |  |
|          | 25    | Willma |     | 25      | Pebbles Flinstone |  |

This can also be expressed as a *inner-join* query:

41



Note that the natural and inner joins eliminate the employees who do not have any dependents. To include such employees in the result with the dependent information left blank when it is not applicable (for employees who have no dependents), an *outer-join* is needed. In the above query, it is sufficient to replace  $\bowtie$  by  $\bowtie$  in the tile's drop-down list to create a right (Employee) outer join.

The equivalent RA expression generated by BR VCE is:

(Employee 🖂 Employee.empNo = Dependent.empNo Dependent).

The equivalent SQL expression generated by BR VCE is:

select \*

from Employee right outer join Dependent on Employee.empNo = Dependent.empNo.
The result of this query is:

· · · · · · · ·

• • •

Hill Runner

EMPLOYEE DEPENDENT

| empNo | fName   |     | empNo | depName           | •••• |
|-------|---------|-----|-------|-------------------|------|
| 18    | Bugs    |     | 18    | Hugs Bunny        | •••  |
| 19    | Jessica | ••• | 19    | NULL              | •••  |
| 22    | Daffy   |     | 22    | NULL              |      |
| 25    | Willma  |     | 25    | Fred Flinstone    | •••  |
| 25    | Willma  | ••• | 25    | Pebbles Flinstone | •••  |
| 33    | Donald  |     | 33    | NULL              | •••  |
| 38    | Minnie  | ••• | 38    | NULL              | •••  |
| 40    | Mickey  |     | 40    | NULL              | •••  |
| 41    | Road    |     | 41    | Hill Runner       | •••  |

### 2.5 Division

Division requires a collection of attribute values to be "related" in some relation to every attribute value in another relation. For example, given the relations *A* and *B*:

since  $y_1$  is "related" in A to every attribute value of  $a_1$  in B.

There is no direct support for the division operation in SQL. One way to express division queries in SQL is as follows. Let  $R_1(Z)$  and  $R_2(X)$  be relations and let Z and X be sets of attributes such that  $Y \subseteq Z - X$ .  $R_1(Z) \div_Y R_2(X)$  is written using other RA operations as follows:

$$\pi_Y(R_1) - \pi_Y((R_2 \times \pi_Y(R_1)) - R_1).$$

Note that our definition for division is more general than the classical division definition which assumes Y = Z - X.

To retrieve the numbers for those employees who held the same job titles as all the ones held by employee with empNo 18, requires the following division query:



#### The equivalent RA expression generated by BR VCE is:

```
(\pi Job.title, Job.empNo (Job) \div Job.title \pi Job.title (\sigma Job.empNo = 18 (Job)))
```

#### The equivalent SQL expression generated by BR VCE is:

```
( select Job.title from ( select Job.title, Job.empNo from Job )
as temp0 except select Job.title from ( ( select * from ( select
Job.title from ( select Job.title, Job.empNo from Job ) as temp1
) as temp2 cross join ( select Job.title from Job where Job.empNo
= 18 ) as temp3 except select Job.title, Job.empNo from Job ) ) as
temp4 )
```

#### The result of this query is:

| empNo | title             |     |               |   |                   |
|-------|-------------------|-----|---------------|---|-------------------|
| 18    | Developer         |     |               |   |                   |
| 18    | Software Engineer |     |               |   |                   |
| 19    | HR Specialist     |     |               |   |                   |
| 22    | Sales Manager     |     |               |   | title             |
| 25    | Developer         |     | $\div_{titl}$ | e | Developer         |
| 33    | Developer         |     |               | ĺ | Software Engineer |
| 33    | Software Engineer |     |               |   |                   |
| 38    | HR Consultant     |     |               |   |                   |
| 40    | Sales Person      |     |               |   |                   |
| 41    | Sales Person      |     |               |   |                   |
|       |                   | emp | No            |   |                   |
|       | =                 | 18  | 3             |   |                   |
|       |                   | 33  | 3             |   |                   |

## **Chapter 3**

# **Relational Calculus Tutorial**

We will present four RC example queries in increasing complexity. There are four groups of tiles for RC in BR $\forall$ CE:

- 1. The Main group contains two tiles. The *main* tile is a container for all RC queries. The *attribute* tile is used to specify attribute names in RC queries. Similarly to RA tiles, optional operands are enclosed in square brackets.
- 2. The Predicates group contains two *predicate* tiles. The first tile represents the predicate P(x) and the second represents  $P(x) \wedge c$ , where c is a logical condition.
- 3. The Quantifiers group has two tiles. The *exists* tile corresponds to the predicate  $\exists x(P(x) \land c)$  and the *forall* tile corresponds to the predicate  $\forall x(P(x) \rightarrow c)$ , where *c* is a condition.
- 4. The Query Condition group has the same tiles as the same group in the RA tab.

### **3.1** Simple RC queries

We start with two queries that do not require the use of quantifiers. To *retrieve the employee names (first and last) who do not identify as male or female*, requires the following. First, the *main* tile is required as a container:



This tiles requires a predicate (*P*). From the Predicates group, drag and snap the simple predicate tile. Then change *Var* to *e* and choose Employee from the drop-down list:



Drag and snap two attribute tiles and select *fname* and *lname* from the drop-down lists:



Formulate the condition:  $(e.gender \neq "M") \land (e.gender \neq "F")$  using condition tiles, and the resulting query is:



The equivalent RC expression generated by BR $\forall$ CE is: { $e.fName, e.lName | Employee(e) \land ((e.gender \neq "M") \land (e.gender \neq "F"))$ }. The equivalent SQL expression generated by BR $\forall$ CE is: select e.lName, e.fName from Employee as e where ( e.gender != "M" and e.gender != "F" ). The result of this query is:

| fName | lName  |
|-------|--------|
| Bugs  | Bunny  |
| Road  | Runner |

The next query *retrieves the employees who earn more than 50000 and who were born after January 1, 1980* (note that the attribute list in the *main* tile is left empty):



```
The equivalent RC expression generated by BR\forallCE is:

\{e|Employee(e) \land ((e.salary > 50000) \land (e.DOB > "1980 - 1 - 1"))\}.

The equivalent SQL expression generated by BR\forallCE is:

select *

from Employee as e

where ( e.salary > 50000

and e.DOB > "1980-1-1" ).

The result of this query is:
```

| empNo | fName   | lName  | DOB       | salary | ••• |
|-------|---------|--------|-----------|--------|-----|
| 19    | Jessica | Rabbit | 1985-6-14 | 65000  |     |
| 38    | Minnie  | Mouse  | 1988-3-19 | 67000  |     |

## 3.2 Existential quantifiers

Joins in RC require the use of the existential quantifier. To *list employee names* who work for the Human Resources department:



```
The equivalent RC expression generated by BR∀CE is:
{e.fName,e.lName|Employee(e) ∧ ∃d(Department(d) ∧
(d.deptName = "HumanResources") ∧
(d.deptNo = e.deptNo)))}.
The equivalent SQL expression generated by BR∀CE is:
select e.fName, e.lName
from Employee as e
where exists (
   select *
   from Department as d
   where (
      d.deptName = "Human Resources"
      and d.deptNo = e.deptNo )
   ).
```

The result of this query is:

| fName   | lName  |
|---------|--------|
| Jessica | Rabbit |
| Minnie  | Mouse  |

## 3.3 Universal quantifiers

The following query retrieves the departments that have every employee earning at least 45000:



The equivalent RC expression generated by BR $\forall$ CE is:  $\{d|Department(d) \land \forall e((Employee(e) \land (e.deptNo = d.deptNo)) \rightarrow (e.salary \leq 45000))\}.$ The equivalent SQL expression generated by BR $\forall$ CE is:

select \*

```
from Department as d
where not (exists (
    select *
    from Employee as e
    where (
        e.deptNo = d.deptNo
        and e.salary < 45000 )
)).</pre>
```

The result of this query is:

| deptNo | deptName               |
|--------|------------------------|
| 1      | Human Resources        |
| 3      | Information Technology |

Note that *forall* queries can be represented in BR $\forall$ CE using the negation of the *exists* quantifier. For instance, the previous query can be also formulated as:

